APPENDIX B

RESPONSES TO NOP



STATE OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE *of* PLANNING AND RESEARCH STATE CLEARINGHOUSE AND PLANNING UNIT



EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Governor

Notice of Preparation

November 21, 2012

To: Reviewing Agencies

Re: Upper Road Land Division SCH# 2002092073

Attached for your review and comment is the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Upper Road Land Division draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Responsible agencies must transmit their comments on the scope and content of the NOP, focusing on specific information related to their own statutory responsibility, within 30 days of receipt of the NOP from the Lead Agency. This is a courtesy notice provided by the State Clearinghouse with a reminder for you to comment in a timely manner. We encourage other agencies to also respond to this notice and express their concerns early in the environmental review process.

Please direct your comments to:

Elise Semonian City of Ross 31 Sir Francis Drake Blvd Ross, CA 94957

with a copy to the State Clearinghouse in the Office of Planning and Research. Please refer to the SCH number noted above in all correspondence concerning this project.

If you have any questions about the environmental document review process, please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613.

Sincerely, Mugan

Scott Morgan Director, State Clearinghouse

Attachments cc: Lead Agency

> 1400 10th Street P.O. Box 3044 Sacramento, California 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613 FAX (916) 323-3018 www.opr.ca.gov

Document Details Report State Clearinghouse Data Base

| SCH# | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Project Title Lead Agency | Upper Road Land Division Ross, City of | | | |
| | | | | |
| Туре | NOP Notice of Preparation | 5- - | | |
| Description | Note: Reference SCH# 1990030617 | | | |
| | The proposed project requests appr units, Design Review approvals for common driveway to serve the site. parcel into three new parcels of 11.8 currently and the residences would One road would serve the entire site sewer lines are proposed to be insta of the existing Upper Road water ma the three new residences. | grading and retaining wall constr The proposed Vesting Tentative 89, 11.00, and 13.08 acres each be reviewed by the Town throug e with driveways provided for each alled beneath the new road and o | ruction and approvals for a e Subdivision Map would divide the . No home designs are proposed h future development applications. ch new house. Water and sanitary driveways, as well as enlargement | |
| Lead Agenc | v Contact | | | |
| Name | Elise Semonian | | | |
| Agency | City of Ross | | | |
| Phone | (415) 453-1453 x121 | Fax | | |
| email | (110) 100 1100 2121 | | | |
| Address | 31 Sir Francis Drake Blvd | | | |
| City | Ross | State CA Zi | ip 94957 | |
| | | | | |
| Project Loca | | | | |
| County | Marin | | | |
| City | Ross | | | |
| Region | Lagunitas Road | | | |
| Cross Streets | 37° 57' 43.93" N / 122° 34' 21.79" V | Λ/ | | |
| Lat / Long | | v | | |
| Parcel No. | 073-011-26 | Section | Base | |
| Township | Range | | 5450 | |
| Proximity to |): | | | |
| Highways | Hwy 101 | | | |
| Airports | | | | |
| Railways | | | | |
| Waterways | | | | |
| Schools | | | | |
| Land Use | R-1:B-10A, Single Family Residen | tial, 10-acre minimum lot size | 8 | |
| Project Issues | Drainage/Absorption; Flood Plain/Flooding; Forest Land/Fire Hazard; Geologic/Seismic; Minerals; | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Noise; Population/Housing Balance; Public Services; Recreation/Parks; Sewer Capacity; Soil | | | |
| | Erosion/Compaction/Grading; Solid Waste; Toxic/Hazardous; Traffic/Circulation; Vegetation; Water | | | |
| | Quality; Water Supply; Wetland/Riparian; Growth Inducing; Landuse; Cumulative Effects; Other Issues | | | |
| | | f Conservation: Cal Fire: Office (| of Historic Preservation: Departmen | |
| Reviewing | | | | |
| Agenales | | | | |
| Agencies | of Parks and Recreation; Departm American Heritage Commission; C | alifornia Highway Patrol: Depart | tment of Toxic Substances Control | |

Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 2

| 107 R N 7 N N | tuality C ion (1) ocument ay Region gion (3) egion (3) egion (5) estion (5) tey Region nch Office anch Office | RWQCB 6 Lahontan Region (6) RWQCB 6V Lahontan Region (6) Victorville Branch Offi Nictorville Branch Offi RWQCB 7 Colorado River Basin Regi RWQCB 9 Santa Ana Region (8) RWQCB 9 Santa Ana Region (8) RWQCB 9 Santa Ana Region (9) and Diego Region (9) and Diego Region (9) |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| refere to same as the | Caltrans, District 8 Dan Kopulsky Caltrans, District 9 Gayle Rosander Caltrans, District 10 Tom Dumas Caltrans, District 11 Jacob Armstrong Caltrans, District 11 Jacob Armstrong Caltrans, District 12 Marton Regisford Caltrans, District 13 Marton Regisford Caltrans, District 13 Marton Regisford Caltrans, District 10 Transportation Projects Jim Lerner Douglas Ito Industrial Projects Mike Tollstrup Board Board | Regional Programs Unit Division of Financial Assistance a State Water Resources Control Board Studen Inten, 401 Water Quality Certification Unit Division of Water Resouces Control Board State Water Resouces Control Board Phil Crader Division of Water Rights Control CeQA Tracking Center CEQA Coordinator CEQA Coordinator |
| County: Man N | Mattive American Heritage Comm. Debbie Treadway Prubtic Untitues Prubtic Untitues Commission Leo Wong Santa Monica Bay Restoration Guangyu Wang State Lands Commission Jennifer Deleong Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) Cherry Jacques Business. Trans & Housing Business. Trans & Housing Terri Pencovic Caltrans - Planning Terri Pencovic California Highway Patrol Suzann Ikenchi | Development Development CEQA Coondinator Housing Policy Division Flousing Policy Division Caltrans, District 1 Rex Jackman Caltrans, District 2 Marcelino Gonzale∠ Gary Arnold Caltrans, District 3 Gary Arnold Caltrans, District 5 David Murray Caltrans, District 6 Michael Ravarro Dianna Walson Caltrans, District 7 Dianna Walson |
| | Fish & Game Region 1E Laune Hamsberger Fish & Game Region 2 Jerf Drohyesen Fish & Game Region 3 Charles Annor Fish & Game Region 3 Charles Annor Fish & Game Region 6 Julle Vance Fish & Game Region 6 Labitat Conservation Program Fish & Game Region 6 Gabrina Gatchel Habitat Conservation Program Fish & Game Region 6 Gabrina Gatchel Habitat Conservation Program Dept. of Fish & Game M George Isaac Marine Region | Food & Agriculture Sandra Schubert Depart. of General Depart. of General Services Public School Construction Dept. of General Services Anna (Sarbeff Environmental Services Section Dept. of Public Health Jerrey Wonth Dept. of Iteatth/Drinking Water Delta Stewardship Council Kevan Samsam Independent Connuissions, Boards Delta Protection Michael Machado Commission Michael Machado Connission Michael Machado Dennission Dennission |
| NOP Distribution List | Resources Agency Nadell (Sayou Dept. of Boating & Waterways Ithole Wony Cationnia Coastal Commission Elizabeth A. Fuchs Commission Bradell R. Zimmerman Gerald R. Zimmerman Commission Elizabeth Carpenter Commission Elizabeth Carpenter Catifice Dan Foster Dan Foster Catifice Dan Foster Catifice Dan Foster Catifice Dan Foster Dan Foster Catifice Dan Catifice Dan Catif | Office of Ilistoric Presevation Ron Parsons Dept of Parks & Recreation Environmental Stewardship Section Dept of Parks & Recreation Environmental Stewardship Section Calitornia Department of Resources, Recycling & Recovery Sectores, Recycling & Recovery Sectores, Recycling & Recovery Sectores, Recycling & Recovery Sectores, Recycling & Recovery Sectores, Recycling & Dept, of Water S.F. Bay Conservation & Dept, of Water Agency Madell Gayou Fish and Game Scott Fluit Fish & Game Scott Fluit Fish & Game Region 1 Donald Noch |

RECEIVED Planning Department

DEC 1 0 2012

Town of Ross

Elise Semonian Senior Planner Town of Ross Ross, CA 94957

Re: Upper Road Land Division Project by Berg Holdings

Thank you for the opportunity to review this project and comment. This project would significantly degrade the aesthetics of the area. Myself and many others hike the Worn Springs trail on Bald Mountain immediately West of this project. This open space is a beautiful unspoiled nature preserve thanks to the MMWD. To be seeing and hearing years of construction and permanent dwellings in close proximity as we hike these now isolated trails would be a travesty. The beauty of this wonderful natural open space would be forever lessened.

Secondly, this project will increase flood potential in this flood prone area. After decades of disasterous floods we now have a plan in place to reduce these flood risks including a detention basin at Phoenix Lake in very close proximity to this project. This project will increase runoff to the same creek which the Phoenix Lake detention project would reduce flow. The proposal may include runoff mitigation plans but these become useless in the very large storms this area experiences. The removal of existing trees and planting of new trees will make the steep slope of this project ripe for landslides especially in the near future.

I strongly oppose this project.

Fralmahn

Frank Malin 6 Fernhill Ave Ross, CA 94957

| From: | Elise Semonian |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| To: | Geoff Reilly |
| Subject: | FW: Email from Town of Ross Website |
| Date: | Monday, December 17, 2012 3:31:51 PM |

-----Original Message-----From: Dain Anderson [mailto:danderson@marinwater.org] Sent: Monday, December 17, 2012 9:19 AM To: Elise Semonian Subject: Email from Town of Ross Website

This message was sent from: http://www.townofross.org/pages/contact/email_semonian.php

Name of sender: Dain Anderson Email of sender: danderson@marinwater.org Phone of sender: 4159451586 ------ MESSAGE ------

Good morning, Our engineer's have looked at the IS for the Upper Road project and suggest the follwiing language revision to the IS (page 55) with respect to water supply and distribution... "Regarding potable water, MMWD has confirmed that there is adequate water supply to accommodate the proposed project and that no new or expanded water treatment facilities are required. With respect to potable water distribution, there is a 4-inch diameter water main located in that portion of Upper Road that fronts the proposed project. The MMWD has indicated that replacement of approximately 650 linear feet of water main in Upper Road may be required to provide adequate fire protection flows for the proposed project." Dain Anderson Environmental Services Coordinator MMWD

THOMAS WEISEL

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

December 19, 2012

VIA FACSIMILE AND US MAIL (415) 453-1950

Ms. Elise Semonian Senior Planner Town of Ross Planning Department 31 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard Ross, CA 94957

RE: Notice or Preparation of Subsequent Environmental Impact Report – Upper Road Land Division Project

Dear Ms. Semonian:

As a Ross homeowner whose property is directly adjacent to the land in question, I submit the following comments regarding the Notice of Preparation and the Initial Study for a Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (the "SEIR") regarding the Upper Road Land Division Project (the "Subdivision"), which I received on November 21, 2012.

As noted in the Initial Study, my home shares 769 feet of common boundary with the parcel proposed to be subdivided (the "Site"), a longer common boundary than that with any other privately-owned parcel, and the topographically-dominant position of the Site amplifies as well many impacts to my home from any development of the Site. In addition, having lived on Upper Road for nearly 40 years (since 1974), I am intimately familiar with the particular resource constraints of this neighborhood.

1. Given the extreme slopes of the Site and the very large amount of grading proposed for this three-lot Subdivision, and in light of the numerous areas of potentially significant impacts identified in the Initial Study, the SEIR should include analysis of a one single family home alternative. In order to reduce to the extent feasible the amount of land and tree clearance, as well as cut-and-fill, a building envelope for one single family home located downslope and inside of the first turn in the proposed driveway should be evaluated. It would reduce all earthmoving impacts to locate the building envelope for one single family home building site should incorporate all feasible design features and mitigations to eliminate noise, visual and other impacts on the adjacent residential parcels and public roads and lands.

THOMAS WEISEL

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

- 2. The SEIR should provide a thorough analysis of potential slope stability impacts taking into account local conditions and in light of the very large amount of earthmoving, vegetation (including tree) removal and proposed construction of engineered slopes.
- 3. The description of the Subdivision does not include the construction of an on-site haul route for transport of fill to the proposed Parcel 1. A description of the location and configuration of the proposed haul route should be included in the SEIR, including the amount of grading (cut and fill) and tree removal involved. The impacts of the construction, use and reclamation of the on-site haul route should be included in the SEIRanalysis, and mitigation measures identified. Any haul route required for any alternative must also be described and its impacts analyzed.
- 4. The project description included in the Initial Study is inconsistent with respect to the proposed water supply, stating on page 7 that the existing water main would be "upsized from the entrance to 7 Upper Road to the project entrance." However, on page 55 it states that "no off-site upgrades would be required."
- 5. The project description and Initial Study also appear to be inconsistent with respect to the agency approvals required, which should include the Ross Valley Fire Department, Kentfield Fire Protection District and the Ross Valley Sanitation District. In addition, the design of the on-site common driveway and hammerhead turn appears to require the approval of the Kentfield Fire Protection District as well as the Ross Valley Fire Department.
- 6. In addition, with regard to the Subdivision or any alternative that involves the creation of multiple building sites, alternative designs (for both building sites and all access routes) should be analyzed that would eliminate or reduce to the maximum extent possible noise, visual and other impacts on the adjacent residential parcels.
- 7. The SEIR should analyze the visual impacts of the terraced walls at the buttressed fill, including whether they will be visible from my property (7 Upper Road), other private property or public roads and lands.
- 8. The terraced walls at the buttressed fill are described as exceeding the Municipal Code's 18 foot height limit; alternative designs should be analyzed that would comply with the Town's ordinances.
- 9. The SEIR's analysis of fire hazards should include a description and analysis of the defensible space necessary for every home site approved as well as all access routes. The SEIR should also include an analysis of the impacts of the creation and maintenance of such defensible space with respect to vegetation removal, visual impacts, water quality and slope stability.

THOMAS WEISEL

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

- 10. The SEIR should describe and analyze the ongoing maintenance required to mitigate various impacts, for example: a) impacts to water quality from management of (and the potential failure to maintain) storm water facilities, and b) impacts to fire hazard risk from management of (and the potential failure to maintain) defensible space. How will implementation be monitored and what will be the compliance mechanisms? If implementation cannot be effectively monitored and enforced, significant, unmitigated impacts should be identified.
- 11. The noise analysis in the SEIR must clarify what is meant by "center of the site" for purposes of construction noise; the language on page 45 of the Initial Study is ambiguous as to whether typical hourly noise expected to be 81 to 88 dbA L_{eq} at 50 feet as measured from the center of the parcel where construction is located, or from the center of the actual construction site within the parcel. Given the proximity of the proposed building envelopes to my home, this would be an important, material distinction.
- 12. In addition, the SEIR's noise analysis must address noise generated by construction and post-construction traffic on the on-site roads as well as on Upper Road, including an analysis of sound reflection in light of the extensive retaining walls and cut banks proposed.
- 13. The analysis of transportation safety impacts in the SEIR should include an analysis of the safety issues presented by the proposed on-site access roads, as well as the safety issues associated with the Upper Road access point near a hairpin curve discussed on page 52 of the Initial Study.
- 14. The transportation safety impacts analysis should examine as well the impacts of construction-related traffic on the pedestrian use of Upper Road to access public lands and trails in addition to private properties.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the Notice of Preparation and Initial Study, please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Musif

Thom Weisel

cc:

Deborah E. Quick, Esq. Mr. Irving Schwarz