



Staff Report

Date: November 14, 2023
To: Advisory Design Review Group
From: Richard Simonitch, Public Works Director
Subject: 77 Laurel Grove Avenue (DRP23-0022)

Recommendation

That the ADR Group discuss the proposed project at 77 Laurel Grove Avenue and provide a formal recommendation to the Town Council regarding its consistency with the Design Review criteria and standards of Ross Municipal Code (RMC) Section 18.41.100 (see **Attachment 1**).

Project Information

Property Address: 77 Laurel Grove Avenue
A.P.N.: 072-031-15
Applicant: Town of Ross
Property Owner: Jad and Stacie Elkhoury
Zoning: R-1:B-5A
General Plan: VL (Very Low Density)
Flood Zone: Zone X

Project Summary: The applicant requests approval for Design Review. The project includes a 100-foot long retaining wall within the public right-of-way along the frontage of 77 Laurel Grove Avenue and new landscaping on the 77 Laurel Grove property to replace vegetative screening that will be removed by the Town of Ross' Laurel Grove Safe Pathways Phase IIB project. The height of the retaining wall varies from 1-foot high to just over 4-feet high, measured from the top of footing.

Project plans are included as **Attachment 2** and Project Materials are included as **Attachment 3**.

The proposed project is subject to the following permit approvals:

- **Design Review is required pursuant to RMC Section 18.41.020:**

(5) The construction of any retaining wall greater than forty-eight inches in height as measured from bottom of footing to top of wall or any terraced retaining walls totaling more than forty-eight inches in height.

(6) New retaining walls with a cumulative total of more than one hundred linear feet.


Background

The Laurel Grove Safe Pathways Project Phase II (the Project) provides a single 6' (4' minimum in some locations) width pedestrian pathway and protective concrete berm adjacent to the existing travelled way of Laurel Grove between Canyon Way and Sir Francis Drake Boulevard. The existing roadway requires widening in several locations and realignment and narrowing of the existing lane striping to maximize the width available for the pathway. The initial portion of the Project was completed in the Summer of 2023 up to a point just past the Monte Alegria private driveway. The final section of the Project to just past Canyon Road requires acquisition of additional right of way from 77 Laurel Grove to provide enough width for the project in this constricted area. The proposed retaining wall is needed due to the cut slope that will be created by the realigned segment of roadway into the newly acquired right of way.

Other Project Elements provided for consideration but not subject to Design Review:

The project proposes supplemental landscaping on the 77 Laurel Grove property to replace screening removed by the right of way acquisition. The area of landscaping is less than the 2,500 SF trigger for Design Review, and the landscape plans are included with the plan set for comment by the ADR.

The project proposes colorized asphalt pavement at the intersections of Laurel Grove Avenue and Canyon Road, Monte Alegria, and Walters Road. Four color choices for the colorized asphalt provided by the "Safe Routes Task Force" are included for comment by the ADR.



Discussion

The overall purpose of the Design Review is to guide new development, to preserve and enhance the special qualities of Ross and to sustain the beauty of the town's environment. Other purposes include: providing excellence of design consistent with the scale and quality of existing development; preserving and enhancing the historical "small town", low-density character and identity that is unique to the Town of Ross; promote and implement the design goals, policies and criteria of the Ross General Plan; upgrade the appearance, quality and condition of existing improvements in conjunction with new development or remodeling of a site; and preserve natural hydrology and drainage patterns and reduce stormwater runoff associated with development. The Design Review criteria and standards per section 18.41.100 are included as **Attachment 1**.

Public Comment

At the time of writing this report, no comments were received from the public regarding the project.

Attachments

1. Ross Municipal Code Section 18.41.100, Design Review Criteria and Standards
2. Project Plans
3. Project Materials

ATTACHMENT 1

Chapter 18.41

DESIGN REVIEW

Sections:

18.41.010	Purpose.
18.41.020	Improvements subject to design review.
18.41.030	Chapter application.
18.41.040	Submittal requirements.
18.41.050	Review authority.
18.41.060	Town Council review.
18.41.070	Approval--Special conditions and findings.
18.41.080	Denial of incomplete or inactive applications.
18.41.090	Administrative review.
18.41.100	Design review criteria and standards.
18.41.110	Noncompliance.
18.41.120	Emergency situations.

18.41.010 Purpose. (a) The “small town” feel and the serene, quiet character of its neighborhoods are special qualities to the town. The existing scale and quality of architecture, the low density of development, the open and tree-covered hills, winding creeks and graciously landscaped streets and yards contribute to this ambience and to the beauty of a community in which the man-made and natural environment co-exist in harmony.

(b) This chapter is intended to guide new development to preserve and enhance these special qualities of Ross and to sustain the beauty of the town’s environment. Other specific purposes include the following:

(1) Provide excellence of design for all new development which harmonizes style, intensity and type of construction with the natural environment and respects the unique needs and features of each site and area. Promote high-quality design that enhances the community, is consistent with the scale and quality of existing development and is harmoniously integrated with the natural environment;

(2) Preserve and enhance the historical “small town,” low-density character and identity that is unique to the Town of Ross, and maintain the serene, quiet character of the town’s neighborhoods through maintaining historic design character and scale, preserving natural features, minimizing overbuilding of existing lots and retaining densities consistent with existing development in Ross and in the surrounding area;

(3) Preserve lands which are unique environmental resources including scenic resources (ridgelines, hillsides and trees), vegetation and wildlife habitat, creeks, threatened and endangered species habitat, open space and areas necessary to protect community health and

safety. Ensure that site design and intensity recognize site constraints and resources, preserve natural landforms and existing vegetation, and prevent excessive and unsightly hillside grading;

(4) Enhance important community entryways, local travel corridors and the area in which the project is located;

(5) Promote and implement the design goals, policies and criteria of the Ross general plan;

(6) Discourage the development of individual buildings which dominate the townscape or attract attention through color, mass or inappropriate architectural expression;

(7) Preserve buildings and areas with historic or aesthetic value and maintain the historic character and scale. Ensure that new construction respects and is compatible with historic character and architecture both within the site and neighborhood;

(8) Upgrade the appearance, quality and condition of existing improvements in conjunction with new development or remodeling of a site.

(9) Preserve natural hydrology and drainage patterns and reduce stormwater runoff associated with development to reduce flooding, streambank erosion, sediment in stormwater drainage systems and creeks, and minimize damage to public and private facilities. Ensure that existing site features that naturally aid in stormwater management are protected and enhanced. Recognize that every site is in a watershed and stormwater management is important on both small and large sites to improve stormwater quality and reduce overall runoff.

(c) This chapter establishes procedures and criteria for the review of buildings, structures and improvements necessary to meet this purpose. (Ord. 619 (part), 2010; Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.020 Improvements subject to design review.

(a) Design review is required for the following projects:

(1) All new buildings and for all exterior remodeling resulting in additions, extensions or enlargements to existing buildings exceeding two hundred square feet of new floor area, including enclosing existing open areas.

(2) All building relocations.

(3) Any increase to the existing roof height.

(4) All fences, gates or walls, or a combination of these, greater than forty-eight inches in height in any yard adjacent to the street or right-of-way.

(5) The construction of any retaining wall greater than forty-eight inches in height as measured from bottom of footing to top of wall or any terraced retaining walls totaling more than forty-eight inches in height.

(6) New retaining walls with a cumulative total of more than one hundred linear feet.

(7) Any sports court fences over 6 feet in height and for gate columns and other decorative fence elements that exceed the permitted fence height limits.

(8) Any project resulting in the removal or alteration of more than twenty-five percent of the exterior walls or wall coverings of a residence, as determined by the Planner.

(9) Any activity or project resulting in more than fifty cubic yards of grading or filling, whether or not a building permit is required.

(10) Any construction, improvements, grading/filling or other site work within twenty-five feet of a creek, waterway or drainageway, whether or not a building permit is required with the exception of creek projects in accordance with Section 18.41.020(b)(4) of this Code.

(11) Any project resulting in over 1,000 square feet of new impervious landscape surface, whether or not a building permit is required.

(12) Redevelopment, Rehabilitation, and/or renovation of existing landscaping over 2,500 square feet, including new hardscape, retaining walls, vegetation modifications, modification to topography, additional impervious surfaces, alterations of drainage patterns, and other site modifications that could affect the visual and/or physical character of the site and neighborhood, whether or not a building permit is required.

(b) Design Review is not required for the following:

(1) Repainting existing structures involving no exterior remodeling resulting in additions, extensions or alterations.

(2) Accessory Dwelling Units in Accordance with Chapter 18.42 of this Code.

(3) Attic improvement permitted under Chapter 18.46 unless the project involves exterior work within twenty-five feet of a creek, waterway or drainageway, or if the attic project is associated with a larger project that requires design review.

(4) Creek stabilization and/or stream bank repair and alteration permits subject to issuance of a building permit and local, state, and federal permitting approval.

(5) Improvement of an existing basement in accordance with Chapter 18.45 of this Code.

(6) Outdoor advertising for the Local Service Commercial (C-L) Zoning District in accordance with Section 18.41.090(d) of this Chapter. (Ord. 704 (part), 2020; Ord. 696 (part), 2019; Ord. 624 (part), 2011; Ord. 619 (part), 2010; Ord. 604 (part), 2008; Ord. 578 §7, 2003; Ord. 575 (part), 2003; Ord. 558 (part), 2001; Ord. 557 (part), 2001; Ord. 544 (part), 1999; Ord. 534 (part), 1996; Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.030 Chapter application. No work shall be started or authorized on any matter which is subject to design review on any lot located within the town until a design review application is approved, unless written approval for the work is first given by the town planner. (Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.040 Submittal requirements. Every design review application shall include drawings, plans, specifications and graphic or written material as required by the town planner or town council to clearly and accurately describe the proposed work, its effect on the environment and its relationship to existing improvements. The applicant shall pay a fee as set by the town council. All drawings and plans shall show both existing and proposed elevations and clearly identify all new construction and label all materials as new or existing. A structural engineer's report on the condition of the existing structure and its ability to meet building code requirements without additional modifications shall be prepared as required by the town planner or town council. An extensive termite, dry rot damage report shall be prepared, as required by the town planner or town council, which shall not only identify areas of damage but shall explore

to determine the full extent of the damage, both exposed and concealed. Complete photos and a videotape of the structure's exterior shall be provided as required by the town planner or town council. A project manager, hired by the town at the applicant's expense, shall monitor demolition/construction activity as deemed necessary by the town building official. The applicant shall submit a proposed erosion control and sediment control plan, stormwater control plan, and/or stormwater facilities operation and maintenance plan if required by chapter 12.28 of the town code. Standard submittal requirements will be prepared by the town planner. Additional material may be required by the town planner. (Ord. 657 (part), 2014; Ord. 604 (part), 2008: Ord. 534 (part), 1996: Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.050 Review authority. The town council will conduct the design review provided for by this chapter, except as otherwise provided in this chapter. (Ord. 641 (part), 2013; Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.060 Town Council review. Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, the town council will decide design review applications at a public meeting. The town council will review the application at its next available meeting following a determination by the town planner that the application is complete. The town clerk will mail written notice at least ten calendar days prior to the hearing to the applicant and to all owners of property within three hundred feet from the exterior boundaries of the project site. (Ord. 641 (part), 2013; Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.070 Approval--Special conditions and findings.

(a) The town council may approve, conditionally approve or deny an application for design review. The town council shall include conditions necessary to meet the purpose of this chapter and for substantial compliance with the criteria set forth in this chapter. The council may adopt by resolution standard conditions for all projects to meet.

(b) The town council shall make the following findings in approving any project:

(1) The project is consistent with the purpose of this chapter as outlined in Section 18.41.010.

(2) The project is in substantial compliance with the design criteria of Section 18.41.100.

(3) The project is consistent with the Ross general plan and zoning ordinance.

(c) The town council shall deny any project for which it cannot make the above findings required in this section. (Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.080 Denial of incomplete or inactive applications. Consistent with state law, the town planner may administratively deny without prejudice any application which remains incomplete or inactive for a period of greater than ninety days or is continued at the applicant's request for more than sixty days. (Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

18.41.090 Administrative review. The town planner may administratively approve, conditionally approve or deny the following without notice or a public hearing: (a) An amendment to town council approved plans that the town planner determines to be in substantial conformity with the approved plans or minor or incidental in nature and consistent

with the intent and criteria of this chapter and with zoning ordinance regulations. Such administrative review may include, but is not limited to, the addition of skylights, greenhouse windows and other minor changes from approved design review plans. (b) Design review of fences pursuant to the provisions of Section 18.41.070 and Section 18.41.080. (c) An application that involves alteration of more than twenty-five percent of the exterior walls, but less than twenty-five percent of the exterior wall coverings of a residence pursuant to the provisions of Section 18.41.070 and Section 18.41.080. (d) Outdoor advertising for the Local Service Commercial (C-L) Zoning District in consultation with the Town's Advisory Design Review Group. (Ord. 704 (part), 2020; Ord. 641 (part), 2013; Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).).

18.41.100 Design review criteria and standards. Until such time the Town Council adopts Residential Design Guidelines, this section provides guidelines for development. Compliance is not mandatory but is strongly recommended. The Town Council may deny an application where there are substantial inconsistencies with one or more guidelines in a manner that is counter to any purpose of this ordinance.

(a) Preservation of Natural Areas and Existing Site Conditions.

(1) The existing landscape should be preserved in its natural state by keeping the removal of trees, vegetation, rocks and soil to a minimum. Development should minimize the amount of native vegetation clearing, grading, cutting and filling and maximize the retention and preservation of natural elevations, ridge lands and natural features, including lands too steep for development, geologically unstable areas, wooded canyons, areas containing significant native flora and fauna, rock outcroppings, view sites, watersheds and watercourses, considering zones of defensible space appropriate to prevent the spread of fire.

(2) Sites should be kept in harmony with the general appearance of neighboring landscape. All disturbed areas should be finished to a natural-appearing configuration and planted or seeded to prevent erosion.

(3) Lot coverage and building footprints should be minimized where feasible, and development clustered, to minimize site disturbance area and preserve large areas of undisturbed space. Environmentally sensitive areas, such as areas along streams, forested areas, and steep slopes shall be a priority for preservation and open space.

(b) Relationship Between Structure and Site.

There should be a balanced and harmonious relationship among structures on the site, between structures and the site itself, and between structures on the site and on neighboring properties. All new buildings or additions constructed on sloping land should be designed to relate to the natural land forms and step with the slope in order to minimize building mass, bulk and height and to integrate the structure with the site.

(c) Minimizing Bulk and Mass.

(1) New structures and additions should avoid monumental or excessively large size out of character with their setting or with other dwellings in the neighborhood. Buildings should be compatible with others in the neighborhood and not attract attention to themselves. When nonconforming floor area is proposed to be retained with site redevelopment, the Council may consider the volume and mass of the replacement floor area and limit the volume and mass where necessary to meet the intent of these standards.

(2) To avoid monotony or an impression of bulk, large expanses of any one material on a single plane should be avoided, and large single plane retaining walls should be avoided. Vertical and horizontal elements should be used to add architectural variety and to break up building plans. The development of dwellings or dwelling groups should not create excessive mass, bulk or repetition of design features.

(d) Materials and Colors.

(1) Buildings should use materials and colors that minimize visual impacts, blend with the existing landforms and vegetative cover, are compatible with structures in the neighborhood and do not attract attention to the structures. Colors and materials should be compatible with those in the surrounding area. High-quality building materials should be used.

(2) Natural materials such as wood and stone are preferred, and manufactured materials such as concrete, stucco or metal should be used in moderation to avoid visual conflicts with the natural setting of the structure.

(3) Soft and muted colors in the earthtone and woodtone range are preferred and generally should predominate.

(e) Drives, Parking and Circulation.

(1) Good access, circulation and off-street parking should be provided consistent with the natural features of the site. Walkways, driveways, curb cuts and off-street parking should allow smooth traffic flow and provide for safe ingress and egress to a site.

(2) Access ways and parking areas should be in scale with the design of buildings and structures on the site. They should be sited to minimize physical impacts on adjacent properties related to noise, light and emissions and be visually compatible with development on the site and on neighboring properties. Off-street parking should be screened from view. The area devoted to driveways, parking pads and parking facilities should be minimized through careful site planning.

(3) Incorporate natural drainage ways and vegetated channels, rather than the standard concrete curb and gutter configuration to decrease flow velocity and allow for stormwater infiltration, percolation and absorption.

(f) Exterior Lighting.

Exterior lighting should not create glare, hazard or annoyance to adjacent property owners or passersby. Lighting should be shielded and directed downward, with the location of lights coordinated with the approved landscape plan. Lamps should be low wattage and should be incandescent.

(g) Fences and Screening.

Fences and walls should be designed and located to be architecturally compatible with the design of the building. They should be aesthetically attractive and not create a “walled-in” feeling or a harsh, solid expanse when viewed from adjacent vantage points. Front yard fences and walls should be set back sufficient distance from the property line to allow for installation of a landscape buffer to soften the visual appearance. Transparent front yard fences and gates over four feet tall may be permitted if the design and landscaping is compatible and consistent with the design, height and character of fences and landscaping in the neighborhood. Front yard vehicular gates should be transparent to let light and lines of sight through the gate. Solid walls and fences over four feet in height are generally discouraged on property lines adjacent to a right-of-way but may be permitted for properties adjacent to Poplar Avenue and Sir Francis Drake

Boulevard based on the quality of the design, materials, and landscaping proposed. Driveway gates should be automatic to encourage use of onsite parking. Pedestrian gates are encouraged for safety, egress, and to encourage multi-modal transportation and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood character.

(h) Views.

Views of the hills and ridgelines from public streets and parks should be preserved where possible through appropriate siting of improvements and through selection of an appropriate building design including height, architectural style, roof pitch and number of stories.

(i) Natural Environment.

(1) The high-quality and fragile natural environment should be preserved and maintained through protecting scenic resources (ridgelines, hillsides, trees and tree groves), vegetation and wildlife habitat, creeks, drainageways threatened and endangered species habitat, open space and areas necessary to protect community health and safety.

(2) Development in upland areas shall maintain a setback from creeks or drainageways. The setback shall be maximized to protect the natural resource value of riparian areas and to protect residents from geologic and other hazards.

(3) Development in low-lying areas shall maintain a setback from creeks or drainageways consistent with the existing development pattern and intensity in the area and on the site, the riparian value along the site, geologic stability, and the development alternatives available on the site. The setback should be maximized to protect the natural resource value of the riparian area and to protect residents from geologic and flood hazards.

(4) The filling and development of land areas within the one-hundred-year flood plain is discouraged. Modification of natural channels of creeks is discouraged. Any modification shall retain and protect creekside vegetation in its natural state as much as possible. Reseeding or replanting with native plants of the habitat and removal of broom and other aggressive exotic plants should occur as soon as possible if vegetation removal or soil disturbance occurs.

(5) Safe and adequate drainage capacity should be provided for all watercourses.

(j) Landscaping.

(1) Attractive, fire-resistant, native species are preferred. Landscaping should be integrated into the architectural scheme to accent and enhance the appearance of the development. Trees on the site, along public or private streets and within twenty feet of common property lines, should be protected and preserved in site planning. Replacement trees should be provided for trees removed or affected by development. Native trees should be replaced with the same or similar species. Landscaping should include planting of additional street trees as necessary.

(2) Landscaping should include appropriate plantings to soften or screen the appearance of structures as seen from off-site locations and to screen architectural and mechanical elements such as foundations, retaining walls, condensers and transformers.

(3) Landscape plans should include appropriate plantings to repair, reseed and/or replant disturbed areas to prevent erosion.

(4) Landscape plans should create and maintain defensible spaces around buildings and structures as appropriate to prevent the spread of wildfire.

(5) Wherever possible, residential development should be designed to preserve, protect and restore native site vegetation and habitat. In addition, where possible and appropriate, invasive vegetation should be removed.

(k) Health and Safety.

Project design should minimize the potential for loss of life, injury or damage to property due to natural and other hazards. New construction must, at a minimum, adhere to the fire safety standards in the Building and Fire Code and use measures such as fire-preventive site design, landscaping and building materials, and fire-suppression techniques and resources. Development on hillside areas should adhere to the wildland urban interface building standards in Chapter 7A of the California Building Code. New development in areas of geologic hazard must not be endangered by nor contribute to hazardous conditions on the site or on adjoining properties.

(l) Visual Focus.

(1) Where visibility exists from roadways and public vantage points, the primary residence should be the most prominent structure on a site. Accessory structures, including but not limited to garages, pool cabanas, accessory dwellings, parking pads, pools and tennis courts, should be sited to minimize their observed presence on the site, taking into consideration runoff impacts from driveways and impervious surfaces. Front yards and street side yards on corner lots should remain free of structures unless they can be sited where they will not visually detract from the public view of the residence.

(2) Accessory structures should generally be single-story units unless a clearly superior design results from a multilevel structure. Accessory structures should generally be small in floor area. The number of accessory structures should be minimized to avoid a feeling of overbuilding a site. Both the number and size of accessory structures may be regulated in order to minimize the overbuilding of existing lots and attain compliance with these criteria.

(m) Privacy.

Building placement and window size and placement should be selected with consideration given to protecting the privacy of surrounding properties. Decks, balconies and other outdoor areas should be sited to minimize noise to protect the privacy and quietude of surrounding properties. Landscaping should be provided to protect privacy between properties. Where nonconformities are proposed to be retained, the proposed structures and landscaping should not impair the primary views or privacy of adjacent properties to a greater extent than the impairment created by the existing nonconforming structures.

(n) Consideration of Existing Nonconforming Situations.

Proposed work should be evaluated in relationship to existing nonconforming situations, and where determined to be feasible and reasonable, consideration should be given to eliminating nonconforming situations.

(o) Relationship of Project to Entire Site.

(1) Development review should be a broad, overall site review, rather than with a narrow focus oriented only at the portion of the project specifically triggering design review. All information on site development submitted in support of an application constitutes the approved design review project and, once approved, may not be changed by current or future property owners without town approval.

(2) Proposed work should be viewed in relationship to existing on-site conditions. Pre-existing site conditions should be brought into further compliance with the purpose and design criteria of this chapter as a condition of project approval whenever reasonable and feasible.

(p) Relationship to Development Standards in Zoning District.

The town council may impose more restrictive development standards than the standards contained in the zoning district in which the project is located in order to meet these criteria. Where two or more contiguous parcels are merged into one legal parcel, the Town Council may consider the total floor area of the existing conforming and legal nonconforming structures and may reduce the permitted floor area to meet the purposes of these standards.

(q) Project Reducing Housing Stock.

Projects reducing the number of housing units in the town, whether involving the demolition of a single unit with no replacement unit or the demolition of multiple units with fewer replacement units, are discouraged; nonetheless, such projects may be approved if the council makes findings that the project is consistent with the neighborhood and town character and that the project is consistent with the Ross general plan.

(r) Maximum Floor Area.

Regardless of a residentially zoned parcel's lot area, a guideline maximum of ten thousand square feet of total floor area is recommended. Development above guideline floor area levels may be permitted if the town council finds that such development intensity is appropriate and consistent with this section, the Ross municipal Code and the Ross general plan. Factors which would support such a finding include, but are not limited to: excellence of design, site planning which minimizes environmental impacts and compatibility with the character of the surrounding area.

(s) Setbacks.

All development shall maintain a setback from creeks, waterways and drainageways. The setback shall be maximized to protect the natural resource value of riparian areas and to protect residents from geologic and other hazards. A minimum fifty-foot setback from the top of bank is recommended for all new buildings. At least twenty-five feet from the top of bank should be provided for all improvements, when feasible. The area along the top of bank of a creek or waterway should be maintained in a natural state or restored to a natural condition, when feasible.

(t) Low Impact Development for Stormwater Management.

Development plans should strive to replicate natural, predevelopment hydrology. To the maximum extent possible, the post-development stormwater runoff rates from the site should be no greater than pre-project rates. Development should include plans to manage stormwater runoff to maintain the natural drainage patterns and infiltrate runoff to the maximum extent practical given the site's soil characteristics, slope, and other relevant factors. An applicant may be required to provide a full justification and demonstrate why the use of Low Impact Development (LID) design approaches is not possible before proposing to use conventional structural stormwater management measures which channel stormwater away from the development site.

(1) Maximize Permeability and Reduce Impervious Surfaces. Use permeable materials for driveways, parking areas, patios and paths. Reduce building footprints by using

more than one floor level. Pre-existing impervious surfaces should be reduced. The width and length of streets, turnaround areas, and driveways should be limited as much as possible, while conforming with traffic and safety concerns and requirements. Common driveways are encouraged. Projects should include appropriate subsurface conditions and plan for future maintenance to maintain the infiltration performance.

(2) Disperse Runoff On Site. Use drainage as a design element and design the landscaping to function as part of the stormwater management system. Discharge runoff from downspouts to landscaped areas. Include vegetative and landscaping controls, such as vegetated depressions, bioretention areas, or rain gardens, to decrease the velocity of runoff and allow for stormwater infiltration on-site. Avoid connecting impervious areas directly to the storm drain system.

(3) Include Small-Scale Stormwater Controls and Storage Facilities. As appropriate based on the scale of the development, projects should incorporate small-scale controls to store stormwater runoff for reuse or slow release, including vegetated swales, rooftop gardens or “green roofs”, catch-basins retro-fitted with below-grade storage culverts, rain barrels, cisterns and dry wells. Such facilities may be necessary to meet minimum stormwater peak flow management standards, such as the no net increase standard. Facilities should be designed to minimize mosquito production. (Ord. 696 (part), 2019; Ord. 653 (part), 2014; Ord. 641 (part), 2013; Ord. 619 (part), 2010; Ord. 611 (part), 2008; Ord. 575 (part), 2003; Ord. 555, 2000; Ord. 543-1 (part), 1998; Ord. 514 §1 (part), 1993).

18.41.110 Noncompliance. Failure to comply in any respect with the conditions or approved plans constitutes grounds for the town to immediately stop work related to the noncompliance until the matter is resolved. Such violation will be subject to the enforcement penalties procedures of Chapter 18.64 of this code. (Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).



18.41.120 Emergency situations. If a condition exists which requires immediate action to protect public health, safety and welfare, the town building official may, after consultation with the town planner, grant emergency approval to temporarily correct or ameliorate such condition without submitting the matter to the Town Council for design review approval or posting written notice. Permanent corrective measures shall require design review approval in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. The building official and town planner may impose conditions on an emergency permit as necessary to ensure compliance with this chapter. (Ord. 514 §1(part), 1993).

ATTACHMENT 2

49 Laurel Grove Ave.
LANDS OF CHURTON TRUST
I.N. 2006-020258

11 Bellagio Rd.
LANDS OF ROESLER TRUST
I.N. 2013-003316

NOTE: THE ANTICIPATED NEW PROPERTY LINE SHOWN ON THIS EXHIBIT IS PRELIMINARY. FINAL NEW PROPERTY LINE WILL BE DETERMINED AND DESCRIBED IN A LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND SHOWN ON THE PHASE II-B BID DRAWINGS.



RETAINING WALL BEG.
REFER TO ANTICIPATED WALL ELEVATIONS DETAIL BELOW FOR ANTICIPATED WALL HEIGHTS.

77 Laurel Grove Ave.
LANDS OF ELKHOURY REVOC. LIVING TRUST
I.N. 2021-0032605


RETAINING WALL END.
REFER TO ANTICIPATED WALL ELEVATIONS DETAIL BELOW FOR ANTICIPATED WALL HEIGHTS.

NEW PROPERTY LINE TO BE ESTABLISHED
(1-FT BEHIND RETAINING WALL)

COLORIZED PAVEMENT, TYP

81 Laurel Grove Ave.
LANDS OF TENSKI TRUST
I.N. 2012-018148

10 Skyview Rd.
LANDS OF BABCOCK
I.N. 2006-029807



ANTICIPATED WALL ELEVATIONS
NOTE: WALL HEIGHT IS MEASURED FROM TOP OF WALL TO TOP OF FOOTING

PROPOSED RIGHT-OF-WAY & WALL EXHIBIT

LAUREL GROVE PATHWAY
TOWN OF ROSS, CALIFORNIA
NOVEMBER 2023

PREPARED BY

200 4th STREET
SUITE 300
SANTA ROSA, CA 95401
(707) 583-8500
www.bkf.com




RHOMBUS CALIFORNIA



PODOCARPUS GRACILIOR



77 LAUREL GROVE RESIDENCE



WOODWARDIA FIMBRIATA



SEQUOIA SEMPERVIRENS



PLANT LEGEND

TREES					
ABBREVIATION	COMMON NAME	SIZE	Q	HT. / WIDTH	NOTE
SS	SEQUOIA SEMPERVIRENS COAST REDWOOD	24" B	8		
SHRUB / PERENNIALS / GRASSES / LIST					
ABBREVIATION	COMMON NAME	SIZE	Q	HT. / WIDTH	NOTE
PG	PODOCARPUS GRACILIOR	24" B	10	12 X 6'	E W F
RC	RHAMNUS CALIFORNICA COFFEEBERRY	15 G	13	10 X 6'	EN W F
WF	WOODWARDIA FIMBRIATA CHAIN FERN	5 G	16	4 X 4'	EN W F

LEGEND

- E = EVERGREEN
- D = DECIDUOUS
- N = CALIFORNIA NATIVE
- W = LOW WATER USE REQUIREMENTS
- F = LOW FIRE / NON - PYROPHYTIC

NOTE: ALL PLANTS ARE TO BE IRRIGATED UTILIZING DRIP IRRIGATION METHODS


Laurel Grove Pathway
Town of Ross, CA.
Date: 4 / 22 / 2022 Scale: 1/8"=1'-0"
Landscape Concept Plan



Revised: 10 / 30 / 2023

Revised: 11 / 2 / 2023

202 Rosemont Mill Valley CA 94941
(415) 380-0755
brad@imprintsgardens.com
www.imprintsgardens.com



**PROPOSED
WALL**

EXISTING WALL

**77 LAUREL GROVE AVENUE
PROPOSED RETAINING WALL CONCEPTUAL VIEW**

ATTACHMENT 3



Carolina Rubble - Sandstone

Wall façade materials for 77 laurel Grove



Colorized Asphalt swatches for intersections